

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

TH FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/813,200 03/07/97 HIRANO М 2342-0107P

002292 MM12/0210 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH P 0 BOX 747

FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER DIETRICH, M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

2814

02/10/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/813.200 Applicant(s)

Examiner

r Mike Dietrich Hirano

Group Art Unit



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 💢 expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final Any extension of time must be obtained by filling a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: X The proposed amendment(s): will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. X will not be entered because: [X] they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Claim 1 now recites a valve disposed in the chamber exhaust and claim 28 is now clearly understood with respect to previous 112 problems, both would require further reconsideration and/or search. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. X The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Other Below ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: Claims objected to: 10, 11, 16, and 18 Claims rejected: 1-9, 12-15, 17, 19, and 27-29 The proposed drawing correction filed on _ has not been approved by the Examiner. ■ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Other Claim 12 does not require exhausting during the movement of substrate just a

comparing of local exhaust to a predetermined exhaust. Claim 15 is unclear how

exhausts it but is not disclosed to be connected to the load lock chamber and if the

many lines are connected to the load lock chamber, since the chamber exhaust

local exhaust is connected to the load lock chamber.

Primary Examiner

Monin, Jr.